# Peering, Transit and IXPs

Philip Smith MENOG 11 Amman 30<sup>th</sup> September – 9<sup>th</sup> October 2012

# Background

#### Presentation discusses:

- Transit
- Peering
- Internet Exchange Points
- Tracking the growth of an Internet access provider
  - Transit
  - Adding Peering
  - Participating in an IXP

### Transit

#### A network operator which provides access to other parts of the Internet

- Local/Regional
- More usually "The Whole Internet"
- Transit providers must be chosen wisely:
  - Only one = no redundancy
  - Too many:
    - No economy of scale
    - Traffic engineering is very difficult
    - Service quality very difficult to provide

Recommendation:

At least two, not more than three

## Common Mistakes

#### Signing up with too many transit providers

- Lots of small circuits
  - These cost more per Mbps than larger ones
  - Transit rates per Mbps reduce with increasing transit bandwidth purchased
- Hard to implement reliable traffic engineering
  - High operational overhead fine tuning peering arrangements
  - Serious service quality concerns due to more frequent path changes and "Internet" disruptions

## Common Mistakes

No diversity for chosen transit providers

- They are not diversely connected on local operator's network backbone
- All reached over same satellite or same submarine cable
- All connect to the same upstream
- All have poor onward transit and peering arrangements

### Peer

- A peer is another autonomous system with which the local network has agreed to exchange locally sourced routes and traffic
- Private peer
  - Private link between two providers for the purpose of interconnecting
- Public peer
  - Internet Exchange Point, where providers meet and freely decide who they will interconnect with

Recommendation: peer as much as possible!

# Peering Advice

- Analyse traffic sources and use that knowledge to determine peers
- Self-list in the Peering Database
  - www.peeringdb.com
- Participate in the various regional and Global peering fora
  - www.peeringforum.com
- Work as hard as possible to get as much peering as possible
  - No traffic costs (usually)
  - Consider transit as last resort it costs money!

## Common Mistakes

- Mistaking a transit provider's "Exchange" business for a no-cost public peering point
- Being physically close to a public peering point but not participating
- Ignoring/avoiding competitors because they are competition
  - Even though potentially valuable peering partner to give customers a better experience

# Types of Interconnect

Private Interconnect

- Where two network operators agree to share costs of a direct interconnection
- Exchange their local routes/traffic
- No traffic costs
- Public Interconnect
  - Where a network operator participates at an Internet Exchange Point, interconnecting with other network operators
  - Exchange routes/traffic with other peers
  - No traffic costs

# Types of IXP peering

Bi-lateral peering

- Like private peering, two operators agree to interconnect their networks, but over the IXP fabric
- Multi-lateral peering
  - Operator peers with the IXP route server
  - Route server sends all routes it knows to the operator
  - Route server sends operator's routes to all other operators peering with route server
- Most IXPs provide the opportunity for participants to use both bi-lateral and multi-lateral peering

# Why an Internet Exchange Point?

#### Saving money, improving service quality, encouraging a local Internet economy

#### Consider a region with one ISP

- They provide internet connectivity to their customers
- They have one or two international connections
- Internet grows, another ISP sets up in competition
  - They provide internet connectivity to their customers
  - They have one or two international connections
- How does traffic from customer of one ISP get to customer of the other ISP?
  - Via the international connections

#### □ Yes, International Connections...

- If satellite, RTT is around 550ms per hop
- So local traffic takes over 1s round trip
- International bandwidth
  - Costs significantly more than domestic bandwidth
  - Congested with local traffic
  - Wastes money, harms performance

#### Solution:

Two competing ISPs peer with each other

#### Result:

- Both save money
- Local traffic stays local
- Better network performance, better service quality,...
- More international bandwidth for expensive international traffic
- Everyone is happier

#### A third ISP enters the equation

- Becomes a significant player in the region
- Local and international traffic goes over their international connections

They agree to peer with the two other ISPs

- To save money
- To keep local traffic local
- To improve network performance, service quality,...

Peering means that the three ISPs have to buy circuits between each other

Works for three ISPs, but adding a fourth or a fifth means this does not scale

Solution:

Internet Exchange Point

# Internet Exchange Point

- Every participant has to buy just one whole circuit
  - From their premises to the IXP
- Rather than N-1 half circuits to connect to the N-1 other ISPs
  - 5 ISPs have to buy 4 half circuits = 2 whole circuits → already twice the cost of the IXP connection

# Internet Exchange Point

Solution

- Every ISP participates in the IXP
- Cost is minimal one local circuit covers all domestic traffic
- International circuits are used for just international traffic – and backing up domestic links in case the IXP fails

Result:

- Local traffic stays local
- Service quality considerations for local traffic is not an issue
- RTTs are typically sub 10ms
- Customers enjoy the Internet experience
- Local Internet economy grows rapidly

## How to start?

# It needs three network operators to agree:

- To interconnect their networks
- A common neutral location for the IX
- To share costs:
  - Infrastructure (data centre, rack, switch, power, a/c)
  - Operational (data centre, switch management)
- Basic behavioural rules (MoU)
- And that's really all there is to it

### How to scale?

Start up model works well for a few participants (<10)</p>

After that, need to consider:

- Cost recovery model of the IXP
- Data centre value
- Permanent staffing arrangement
- Ethernet switch & other network equipment
- Scaling the peering arrangements
- Governance: i.e. consortium/management board

# Other Opportunities

- IXP is primarily about facilitating local peering
- But other entities are interested in IXPs too:
  - Content providers
    - Lower transit costs, fast delivery, better end-user experience
  - Root nameserver operators
    - Local instance of F, I, K, L, etc
  - ccTLD and gTLD operators
    - Domestic ccTLD is priority

# Other Services

#### Other services can be provided:

- Time synchronisation (ntp)
- Route Collector
  - Marketing tool for IXP
  - Troubleshooting tool for ISPs and global Internet
- Route Server
  - Scales BGP peering at IXP
- Services should avoid competing with the membership

# Adding more participants?

With an established IX:

- Content providers connected
- Root nameserver operator present
- Existing participants have superior domestic internet performance
- Non-participants miss out on benefits
  - Motivated to join
  - Customer word of mouth is powerful
  - Especially when local content delivery is superior via IXP connected participants ISPs

# Scaling further?

IXP becomes "critical infrastructure" for local Internet traffic

#### How to scale:

- ISPs bring second router (for redundancy)
- Second switch (for redundancy)
- Second site (for redundancy)

### Other issues

- Obtaining unanimity in the local industry before setting up the IX is usually impossible
  - Three network operators are all that are needed to start an IX
- Technically the IX is very simple to set up
  - Ethernet switch, one router per ISP, and eBGP
- Politically the IX could be complicated to set up
  - Participants try and gain advantage over others
  - Government or Regulator may want to operate it
  - Incumbent telco is usually last to participate

# Advice on IX construction

- Establish local peering before being forced by Government to do so
- Avoid:
  - Complex rules and stifling bureaucracy
  - Complex cost models and barriers to entry
- Obtain minimum critical mass
- Get the IXP established technically (easy!)
- Lobby content providers, root nameserver operators and the local ccTLD to participate

# Conclusion

- Tracked the growth of an Internet access provider
  - Transit gives global Internet connectivity traffic costs
  - Peering no traffic costs, reduced dependency on Transit
  - IXP scalable Peering (no traffic costs), essential for a growing Internet economy