### IPv6 Initiatives

# PAKISTAN

### A Brief on the IPv6 Penetration initiative In Pakistani Industry

By: Yousuf Bhaiji On Behalf of IPv6 Task Force Pakistan

#### **IPv6 PENETRATION – SOUTH ASIA**



Visible Prefixes Allocations Visible Percentage	= 3 = 4 = 75.00%
Visible Prefixes Allocations Visible Percentage	= 2 = 12 = 16.67%
Visible Prefixes Allocations Visible Percentage	= 0 = 1 = 0.00%
Visible Prefixes Allocations Visible Percentage	= 0 = 0 = 0.00%
Visible Prefixes Allocations Visible Percentage	= 0 = 0 = 0.00%
Visible Prefixes Allocations Visible Percentage	= 0 = 0 = 0.00%



Visible Prefixes	= 0
Allocations	= 0
Visible Percentage	= 0.00%
Visible Prefixes	= 0
Allocations	= 0
Visible Percentage	= 0.00%

Source: http://www.sixxs.net/tools/grh/dfp/

-IPv6 has been on our radar screen for few years.

-The Top Ranking ISPs (CYBERNET, SUPERNET and DANCOM) decided to get their v6 prefix from APNIC and get going.

-These ISPs starts initial IPv6 deployment with in their own domain since March, 2006.

–Pakistan IPv6 Forum was launched during July 2006 to further boost the awareness program.

–IPv6 Task Force formulated in September 2006 to further increase the pace of v6 activities in Pakistan





Two major International transit providers in Pakistan
PTCL / PIE ( the local PTT)
FLAG Telecom

-Neither of them ready to support native v6 transit service

So, WHAT TO DO.

-SANOG 8 - Catalyst to Channelize the Activities





- At Least we have the IPv6 Address Space & AS

CYBERNET - 2001:4538::/32 AS 9541 DANCOM - 2404:148::/32 AS 23966 SUPERNET - 2001:FE8::/32 AS 24435

– We went for v6 Tunnels over v4





CYBERNET:AS: 9541, Prefix: 2001:4538::/32 Upstream with Occaid (ASN-30071), LAVANet (ASN-6435), UK6x (ASN-1752)

SUPERNET: AS: 24435, Prefix: 2001:fe8::/32 Upstream with Occaid (ASN-30071)

DANCOM: AS: 23966 Prefix: 2404:148::/32 Upstream with Fast Lab Network (ASN-41102), Occaid (AS - 30071)





#### **IPv6 PENETRATION – PROJECT 6Core**



#### **IPv6 PENETRATION – PROJECT 6Core**



#### rviews@zelie.opentransit.net> traceroute www.ipv6tf.org.pk

traceroute6 to www.ipv6tf.org.pk (2001:4538:100::2) from 2001:688:0:3:4::5, 30 hops max, 12 byte packets 2001:688:0:4::62 (2001:688:0:4::62) 27.099 ms 27.160 ms 27.129 ms 10.ge0-0.cr1.atl1.us.occaid.net (2001:4830:ff:e300::1) 39.069 ms 38.987 ms 38.951 ms v3327-mpd.cr1.lax1.us.occaid.net (2001:4830:ff:a110::2) 159.519 ms 159.515 ms 159.436 ms so-1-0-0.cr1.sjc2.us.occaid.net (2001:4830:ff:1201::1) 168.505 ms 168.571 ms 168.410 ms 38.fe0-0.cr1.sfo2.us.occaid.net (2001:4830:ff:12ea::1) 171.830 ms 171.402 ms 171.474 ms cybernet-gw.customer.occaid.net (2001:4830:e0:11::2) 469.982 ms 469.176 ms 468.937 ms cybernet-ipv6-gw (2001:4830:e0:11::2) 470.543 ms 470.626 ms www.ipv6tf.org.pk(2001:4538:100::2) 470.543 ms 470.626 ms

#### rviews@zelie.opentransit.net> ping inet6 www.ipv6tf.org.pk

PING6(56=40+8+8 bytes) 2001:688:0:3:4::5 --> 2001:4538:100::2 16 bytes from 2001:4538:100::2, icmp\_seq=0 hlim=53 time=477.248 ms 16 bytes from 2001:4538:100::2, icmp\_seq=1 hlim=53 time=468.991 ms 16 bytes from 2001:4538:100::2, icmp\_seq=2 hlim=53 time=474.265 ms 16 bytes from 2001:4538:100::2, icmp\_seq=3 hlim=53 time=477.434 ms

4 packets transmitted, 4 packets received, 0% packet loss round-trip min/avg/max/std-dev = 468.991/474.484/477.434/3.412 ms





#### **IPv6 PENETRATION – 6Core PHASES**





		6Core IPv6 o	deployment Ro	badmap		
Phases	Sep 06	Jan 07	May 07	Sep 07	Jan 08	May 08
Phase I	Done					
Phase II		In Process				
Phase III						
Phase IV						
Phase V						





Further information can be collected using CYBERNET IPv6 LG:

http://seraph.cyber.net.pk/cgi-bin/lg-cisco/index.cgi

For Visibility of Pakistan IPv6 CIDRs in IPv6 Cloud, refer:

http://www.sixxs.net/tools/grh/dfp/all/?country=pk

For Pakistan IPv6 Task Force Website, refer:

http://www.ipv6tf.org.pk

For 6Core Project and its Documentation, refer:

http://www.ipv6tf.org.pk:8080/ipv6/6Core





-It's easy

-Right time to deploy it now so that internet ISP engineers gets some idea about the work involved.

-A bit of planning is useful and sound v4 network knowledge helps

-Everything is as good as v4, just longer addresses

-Have a Test bed setup before you move to implementation of v6 in your live network.





## **QUESTIONS ?**



